

Nursing Leadership & Management in Health Systems | 2015-2016 Assessment Report

1. Please give a brief overview of the assessment data you collected this year.

In 2015-2016, data from MSN Program Outcome 3 (Enact a nurse leader role in safety and quality improvement in the health care environment) was targeted for further assessment. Quality improvement and increased patient safety initiatives permeate the current health care industry and are the core concept of the MSN program. In 2015-2016 aggregate, results from student and graduate assessments and faculty assessments were collected, analyzed, and applied to curriculum as appropriate. Findings are summarized in this table:

Student Learning Outcome STUDENT ASSESSN	Program Outcome 3: Enact a nurse leader role in safety and quality improvement in the health care environment, was targeted for further assessment. MENT METHODS and DATA for MS	Evidence/Findings from Program Outcome # 3 N Program Outcome # 3
	data. Students participate annually in a virtual meeting (two times, different days offered) with faculty and advisors and questions about Outcome #3.	 Annual MSN student meeting data: April 2016 97% MSN students participated in the annual virtual meeting. The following reflects the responses to this program outcome: Are staff level nurses participating or involved in quality improvement processes or committees at your place of employment? (e.g. National Database of Nursing Quality Indicators [NDNQI], Quality and Safety Education for Nurses [QSEN], LeapFrog, HEDIS, NRMI, Public Health Accreditation Board [PHAB]) YES How are you participating or involved in quality
		improvement processes or committees at your place of employment? (e.g. National Database of Nursing Quality Indicators [NDNQI], Quality and Safety Education for Nurses [QSEN], LeapFrog,

Graduate Survey data. Student rating of satisfaction with achievement of Program Outcome 3 collected each term and reported annually (summer graduates).	HEDIS, NRMI, Public Health Accreditation Board [PHAB]) Individual student exemplars: • Long term care (falls prevention, risk management) • EBP for policy/procedure updates • quality metrics oversight; huddle boards, LEAN methods • "Pathway to Excellence" (seeking Magnet status) • Baldrige National Quality Program (IHI) for QI at systems level • QI for triage/medical screening in ED • steering committee looking at QI processes for health dept. accreditation processes • Weekly QI evaluating several NDNQI measures for dialysis unit • Shared governance committees work revolves around NDNQI or Press-Ganey data to driver QI • NDNQI data for safety in ICU • All units have QI dashboards • Grant required QI review of policies and procedures in PHD • System QI measures compared to national indicators • Safety data and BSN vs ADN prepared nurses Graduate Survey data-student rating of satisfaction with achievement of Program Outcome #3 (5 point Likert scale with 5 = extensive and 1 = none) Program benchmark = 3.75
Nursing course evaluation by Curriculum Development Committee (CDC). Review of course evaluation data for	(N= 6/6) Nursing course evaluation by Curriculum Development Committee (CDC). NURS 734 was revised after the Fall 2013 offering to focus on quality improvement after CDC review. New

	NUIDC 724 Evidence Deced	course tout colondar and revision notes were
	NURS 734 Evidence Based	course text, calendar and revision notes were
	Practice (EBP) identified a	reviewed by faculty.
	desire to strengthen the	
	Quality and Safety content	
	coverage.	
	Course assignments in NURS	Course assignments in NURS 760 & 734, related to
	760, 734, and 772 related to	Program Outcome 3.
	Program Outcome 3.	
	Satisfactory completion of	
	course work by students	
	demonstrating understanding	
	of quality improvement and	
	safety.	
	·	
	NURS 760 Nursing Informatics	NURS 760 Nursing Informatics in Health Systems
	in Health Systems (QSEN	(QSEN worksheet/action plan assignment
	worksheet/action plan	
	assignment	
		Average score 92.9% (N= 24); 22 students
		achieved a score of 85% or better
	NURS 734 Evaluation and	Process analysis tools
	Evidence-Based Practice in	Average score 85%; (18/19) achieved a score of
	Health Systems (Process	85% or better
	Analysis and	Dashboard or Balanced scorecard for the overall
	Dashboard/Balanced	nursing department in your organization.
	Scorecard assignments)	Average score 85%; (18/19) achieved a score of
	, ,	85% or better
FACULTY AND COI	ASSESSMENT METHODS and DATA	A for MSN Program Outcome #3
	MSN practice mentors rating	MSN practice mentor rating for students for
	of students demonstrating of	factors related to Program Outcome #3
	Program Outcome #3.	4 point Likert scale with 1 = "poor" and 4 =
	Mentors rating of Program	"excellent"
	Outcome 3 collected following	
	practicum.	a. 2015 NURS 770 class average 3.46
		(N=7/7)
		b. Qualitative Comments:
		"Consistently demonstrates collaborative leadership skills
		collaborative leadership skills, excellent communication, and sound
		decision-making. Has completed

	project with professionalism, dedication, and enthusiasm" • "Has paired up with me to attend many quality and safety meetings While in many instances this may be the first time thatattended the specific meeting readily participates and makes the connection with the work. By attending those meetings [Student G] has the opportunity to learn more of the org structure the cultural impact of decision making, and committee membership" • "Demonstrates excellent nursing leadership! Always keeps patients and families the focus of all decisionswork regarding violence in the workplace was extremely beneficial to for the safety of patients, families, and staff"
MSN Nursing faculty meetings.	MSN Nursing faculty meetings. Meeting agendas
Address issues, concerns, or	and discussions in Spring 2016 echoed the need to
joys related to Program	include revise N734 to include requisite content
Outcome 3.	information to enable student success.

2. How will you use what you've learned from the data that was collected?

This is the first program assessment for the new MSN Leadership and Management in Health Systems. While data for 2015-2016 demonstrate satisfactory performance by graduates, we will continue to monitor data for additional areas of improvement such as:

- Continue to evaluate the incorporation of Quality/Safety content throughout the curriculum.
- Students to identify specific Q/S activities in practicum
- Discuss this with Nursing Advisory committee
- Continue to monitor Graduate Survey data.